

Message

---

**From:** Lofstrom, Dot@DTSC [Dot.Lofstrom@dtsc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** 4/14/2016 4:41:56 PM  
**To:** Jeng, Cy@DTSC [Cy.Jeng@dtsc.ca.gov]  
**Subject:** FW: riversideagparkoffsiteworkgrouppublicnotice (002)

---

**From:** Tasnif-abbasi, Maryam@DTSC  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 13, 2016 11:09 PM  
**To:** Lofstrom, Dot@DTSC  
**Subject:** Re: riversideagparkoffsiteworkgrouppublicnotice (002)

Thanks so much Dot, this is perfect.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 13, 2016, at 5:09 PM, Lofstrom, Dot@DTSC <Dot.Lofstrom@dtsc.ca.gov> wrote:

My changes.

Also, the path we have identified to follow, for the Offsite Investigation is as follows

1. **Evaluate the hypothesis that significant PCB contamination blew offsite during the cleanup activities.**

There are data to use that can help us with this question.

- Review the air monitoring data during the cleanup. (completed)
- Work with SCAQMD to construct a model based on the existing source and air monitoring data. (in progress)
- Work backwards, using modeling, to evaluate how much dirt would have needed to blow off the site during the cleanup in order to put downwind residential properties above the residential soil screening level for PCBs. The goal here is to see if there is any plausible scenario that could have resulted in the offsite transfer of that much dirt. (in progress)

2. **Soil sampling for validation of the modeling.**

- Use carefully targeted and limited sampling as a cross-check to make sure we're not making erroneous assumptions in our model.
- Using the modeling, identify a few "worst case" locations on public rights of way to use for limited sampling to validate the model.
- If the sampling near the perimeter on public rights of way is in accordance with model expectations, then do not proceed with further exploratory sampling. If the sampling near the perimeter is significantly inconsistent with the modeling expectations, then reassess and consider proceeding with additional sampling.

The role of the offsite community work group would be for us to explain the technical decisions we are making to a small group with an opportunity for them to ask questions and provide input. The goal is to increase their level of confidence in the process we are following, so that they will know they are safe.

---

**From:** Akula, Maya@DTSC  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 13, 2016 4:24 PM

**To:** Lofstrom, Dot@DTSC  
**Cc:** Bowen, Patrice@DTSC; Lear, Stacey@DTSC  
**Subject:** FW: riversideagparkoffsiteworkgrouppublicnotice (002)

Public Notice

---

**From:** Tasnif-abbasi, Maryam@DTSC  
**Sent:** Friday, April 08, 2016 9:11 AM  
**To:** Lear, Stacey@DTSC <[Stacey.Lear@dtsc.ca.gov](mailto:Stacey.Lear@dtsc.ca.gov)>  
**Subject:** riversideagparkoffsiteworkgrouppublicnotice (002)

Here are my changes.... You may need to unify the language, but I wanted to change the lead in to your paragraph.

<riversideagparkoffsiteworkgrouppublicnotice \_dml.docx>